Alder Grove Charter School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2017-18 School Year

Published During 2018-19

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2018-19)

School Contact Inform	School Contact Information			
School Name	Alder Grove Charter School			
Street	714 F St.			
City, State, Zip	Eureka, CA 95501-0540			
Phone Number	(707) 268-0854			
Principal	Tim Warner			
E-mail Address	tim.warner@aldergrovecharter.org			
Web Site	www.aldergrovecharter.org/			
CDS Code	12 63032 0111203			

District Contact Information		
District Name	Alder Grove Charter School	
Phone Number	(707) 268-0854	
Superintendent	Tim Warner	
E-mail Address	tim.warner@aldergrovecharter.org	
Web Site	www.aldergrovecharter.org/	

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018-19)

Alder Grove Charter School is a personalized learning public charter school that provides support for families who choose to educate their children in the home environment. We believe individual differences and the uniqueness of each child are to be supported and celebrated. Our mission is to provide students with personalized learning and to support parental choice in education.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017-18)

Grade Level	Number of Students		
Kindergarten	36		
Grade 1	23		
Grade 2	28		
Grade 3	23		
Grade 4	33		
Grade 5	19		
Grade 6	41		
Grade 7	46		
Grade 8	36		
Grade 9	30		
Grade 10	29		
Grade 11	47		
Grade 12	52		
Total Enrollment	443		

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	2.7
Asian	0.5
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	12.4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
White	70.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	71.6
English Learners	0.2
Students with Disabilities	14.7
Foster Youth	0.5

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

T		District		
Teachers	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19
With Full Credential	30	34	34	
Without Full Credential	0	0	1	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Year and month in which data were collected: 12/2018

Students use a variety of curriculum in a personalized learning school. Curriculum is chosen based on each student's academic strengths and weaknesses, and also taking into account interests and learning styles.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts			0.0
Mathematics			0.0
Science			0.0
History-Social Science			0.0
Foreign Language			0.0
Health			0.0
Visual and Performing Arts			0.0

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The school leases facilities which are maintained by the landlord(s). The school is safe, clean, and adequate. There are no significant scheduled improvements.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: Fall 2018					
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned			
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good				
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good				
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good				
Electrical: Electrical	Good				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good				

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

overall rudnity Rudning (Wood Recent rear)					
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: Fall 2018					
Overall Rating	Exemplary				

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
Subject	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	41.0	44.0	44.0	43.0	48.0	50.0
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	29.0	24.0	29.0	26.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	235	235	100.00	43.59
Male	109	109	100.00	36.11
Female	126	126	100.00	50.00
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian		-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	28	28	100.00	39.29
White	154	154	100.00	43.14
Two or More Races	46	46	100.00	47.83
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	152	152	100.00	40.79
Students with Disabilities	31	31	100.00	16.13

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	235	234	99.57	23.93
Male	109	108	99.08	25.93
Female	126	126	100	22.22
Black or African American		-	1	
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Hispanic or Latino	28	28	100	21.43
White	154	153	99.35	24.18
Two or More Races	46	46	100	26.09
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	152	152	100	17.76
Students with Disabilities	31	31	100	6.45

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

-		Percentage of St	tudents Meeting	or Exceeding the	State Standard				
Subject	Sch	ool	Dist	trict	State				
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18			
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	N/A	N/A							

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2017-18)

In the 2018-2019 school year, the school began offering CTE classes in computer programming and health careers. In previous years, finding CTE credentialed teachers was challenging.

Students are encouraged to concurrently enroll in the local community college where they can take a variety of classes, including career training.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2017-18)

Measure	CTE Program Participation			
Number of pupils participating in CTE				
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0			
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0			

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2017–18 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	19.5
2016–17 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	12.3

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2017-18)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards								
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards						
5	23.5	23.5	17.6						
7	27.1	27.1	14.6						
9	14.3	21.4	42.9						

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

Parents are encouraged to participate in the school. Parent involvement in the education of the student is critical, as most learning takes place at home. Parents are also encouraged to become members of the School Leadership Team and Governance Council.

Twice yearly full-day parent workshops are held on a variety of topics including hand writing, teaching your child to read, learning styles, math standards, test preparation, homeschooling, high school volunteer and career training, and more. The school's once-a-year curriculum share and open house is the perfect opportunity to browse curriculum, ask questions of those who have used each one, and to network with other home school parents. Two 6-week classes are offered for parents of TK-2nd grade students who new to homeschooling, helping them organize and maintain a creative and academic learning environment.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- · High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

la dia da a	School			District			State			
Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	
Dropout Rate	7.3	7.7	7.4			7.4	10.7	9.7	9.1	
Graduation Rate	80.5	86.5	79.6			79.6	82.3	83.8	82.7	

For the formula to calculate the 2016–17 adjusted cohort graduation rate, see the 2017–18 Data Element Definitions document located on the SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2017 (One-Year Rate)

6		Graduating Class of 2017	
Group	School	District	State
All Students	100.0	100.0	88.7
Black or African American	0.0	0.0	82.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	100.0	100.0	82.8
Asian	100.0	100.0	94.9
Filipino	0.0	0.0	93.5
Hispanic or Latino	100.0	100.0	86.5
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0	88.6
White	100.0	100.0	92.1
Two or More Races	100.0	100.0	91.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100.0	100.0	88.6
English Learners	0.0	0.0	56.7
Students with Disabilities	100.0	100.0	67.1
Foster Youth	100.0	100.0	74.1

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

	School				District		State			
Rate	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	
Suspensions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	4.6	3.7	3.7	3.5	
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	

School Safety Plan (School Year 2018-19)

The school has a safety coordinator and a safety team, who work with the director, to create and implement a school safety plan. The safety team meets monthly to review the safety plan and develop/update procedures. Every August at the teacher in-service trainings, the faculty is informed about any changes to the safety plan, and procedures are reviewed for the upcoming school year. On-campus class teachers review the plan with students the first week of school. This year, the local police department gave a safety training to staff and the safety team is working to implement their suggestions.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

		201	2015-16		2016-17				2017-18				
Grade	Avg.	Number of Classes		Number of Classes		Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	
К					1	1							
1									1	1			
4	1	1											

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

- 11 C. 11 C. 1 C. 1 C. 1 C. 1 C. 1 C. 1												
	2015-16			2016-17				2017-18				
Subject	Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms	Avg. Number		er of Clas	srooms
ounjeet.	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	10.0	16	2		12.0	15	3		9.0	26		1
Mathematics	8.0	16	1	1	8.0	17	1		6.0	23	1	
Science	7.0	17			7.0	17	1		6.0	21	1	
Social Science	12.0	10	1	1	14.0	9	4	2	6.0	28	1	

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

^{** &}quot;Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017-18)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1.0	50
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.0	N/A
Psychologist	1.0	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	.2	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

		Expenditures Per Pupil					
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary			
School Site	\$8,005.30	\$811.10	\$7,194.20	\$54,064			
District	N/A	N/A	\$4,985				
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	36.3	-14.7			
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,125	\$63,218			
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	1.0	-15.6			

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

The school offers a mandatory Academic Support Program to those students who are below grade level. Students receive an extra hour of tutoring, either face to face or online in math, reading and/or writing if they are identified as needing Academic Support Services. Small reading and writing groups are also offered to students not below grade level who voluntarily sign up for this service. Drop in math lab is held every day and is an opportunity to get math support for students of all grade levels.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary		\$44,375
Mid-Range Teacher Salary		\$65,926
Highest Teacher Salary		\$82,489
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		\$106,997
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		\$109,478
Average Principal Salary (High)		
Superintendent Salary		\$121,894
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	29.0	32.0
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.0	7.0

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

^{*}One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2017-18)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	N/A
English	0	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	0	N/A
Foreign Language	0	N/A
Mathematics	1	N/A
Science	1	N/A
Social Science	0	N/A
All courses	2	.2

Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Staff attends required monthly staff meetings where current best practices are discussed. Staff have the opportunity to attend local and statewide conferences and workshops. Teachers are encouraged to seek personalized professional development opportunities and offer small group sharing of knowledge and training materials to other teachers. New teachers are supported through the NCTIP program as well as support from Advisory Teachers onsite. An overall culture of collaboration is encouraged.

^{*}Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.